



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/842,896	04/27/2001	Masaharu Hayashi	0425-0836P	7584
2292	7590 04/19/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUI	RCH, VA 22040-0747	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/842,896	HAYASHI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alton N. Pryor	1616	
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	· ···
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI resions of time may be available under the provisions of a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	☐ This action is non-final. Tallowance except for formal mat	·	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 4.6-8.10.12 and 14-22 is/are page 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4.6-8.10.12.14-22 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Entry the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection	withdrawn from consideration. ted. n and/or election requirement. Examiner.) accepted or b) objected to	•	
11) 🔲	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the the oath or declaration is objected to be	e correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Incuments have been received in A Incuments have been the priority documents have been Il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	9-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/842,896 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see paper, filed 2/7/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 4,6-8,10,12,14-19 under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Kim et al (USPN 5674897; 10/7/97) in view of Szoka et al (USPN 4394149; 7/19/83) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made below.
- II. Rejection of claims under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Kim et al (USPN 5674897; 10/7/97) in view of Szoka et al (USPN 4394149; 7/19/83) will not be maintained in light of amendment filed 2/7/06. Applicant is correct in that Kim and Szoka are not analogous art. Kim is to the control of nematodes in plants, whereas Szoka is to plant nutrients.
- III. New 103(a) rejection.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4,6-8,10,12,14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (USPN 5674897; 10/7/97) in view of Basinger et al (USAN 2001/0019728; 09/06/01). Kim teaches a composition comprising 10 – 20,000 ppm C8 to C14 fatty acid esters plus a surfactant plus water. Kim teaches a method of applying the composition to plants to control nematodes. Kim does not state that invention activates or promotes plant growth. See abstract, column 4 lines 1-63, column 8 lines

Application/Control Number: 09/842,896

Art Unit: 1616

58-65, and claims 1-4. However, it is obvious that if the composition is applied to the plant that it would activate plant growth since it is used to control nematodes from destroying plants. Kim does not teach the invention comprising fertilizer or EDTA or nutrients or mixtures thereof. However, Basinger teaches a method for protecting an agricultural substance (plant) comprising treating the plant with a composition comprising iodine, fertilizer and chelater (EDTA). See abstract, paragraph 27, claims 45,49, and 50. Basinger teaches that the composition is used to control pests such as nematodes. See abstract, paragraph 18. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention taught by Kim to include the nutrients, fertilizer, and EDTA taught by Basinger. One would have been motivated to do this in order to enhance the effectiveness of Kim's invention at controlling nematode infestation as well as promoting the growth of plants. One would have also been motivated to do this since both inventions are to plant treatment compositions for controlling nematodes. With respect to the amounts of ingredients, one having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to determine the optimum amounts of ingredients. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the most effective composition for controlling nematodes in plants. The skilled artisan after reviewing the references would have been motivated to do the claimed method since both individual inventions are to the control of nematodes, i.e., it is obvious to combine inventions having the same utility. Mr. Suzuki's declaration argues that promoting plant growth and controlling nematodes are separate concepts. Applicant argues that at page 1 line 13 to page 2 line 1 of the specification shows the inventive plant activating agent functions in plant

Application/Control Number: 09/842,896

Art Unit: 1616

growth (e.g. improved reproductive ability) on a cellular level. Examiner argues that once a nematocide is applied to the plant it protects plants from being destroyed by nematodes, which in turn promotes the growth of the plant. Also note that Basinger employs a composition not only comprising a pesticide as protectant against nematocides, but also contains nutrients and fertilizers to promote the growth of plants. Therefore it is within the scope of the pesticide art to make an invention that promotes plant growth while at the same time control nematode infestation. For this reason, an artisan would have reasonable expectation of success. Applicant argues that page 1 line 13 to page 2 line 1 of the specification shows that the inventive plant activating agent functions in plant growth on a cellular level.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanahban can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,896 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616